A Possible Future of Software Development

Sean Parent

October 22, 2006



Engineering Team Structure

- Product Line:
 - Photoshop, Acrobat, InDesign, ...
- Products:
 - Photoshop CS2, Photoshop Elements, Photoshop Lightroom, ...
- Product Team:
 - Developers ≈20
 - Testers ≈30
 - User Interface Designers ≈1
- Shared Technology Groups: ≈20
 - Libraries for Vector Graphics, Type, Color, Help, Localization, XML Parsing, File Handling, etc.



Development Process

- Process is Constrained by Business Model
- Schedule Driven, Incremental Development Model on 18-24 month cycles
 - Larger Products and Suites Forced Toward Waterfall Model
 - Press for Manuals must be reserved up to 5 months in advance
- Most Products Ship Simultaneously For Macintosh and Windows in English, French, German, and Japanese
 - Other languages follow shortly to about 24 languages



Photoshop Facts

- History
 - 1987: Started by Thomas Knoll
 - 1990: 1.0 Shipped by Adobe
 - 1991: 2.0 Clipping Path
 - 1993: 2.5 First Version on Windows
 - 1994: 3.0 Layers
 - 1996: 4.0 Actions & Adjustment Layers
 - 1998: 5.0 History & Color Management
 - 1999: 5.5 Web Development
 - 2000: 6.0 Typography
 - 2002: 7.0 Camera RAW, Healing Brush, Natural Painting
 - 2003: CS Lens Blur, Color Match, Shadow/Highlight
 - 2005: CS2 High Dynamic Range Imaging, Smart Objects, Lens Correction

Photoshop Code

- 100% C++ since Photoshop 2.5
- Stats for Photoshop CS2 (version 9):
 - Files: ≈ 6,000
 - Lines: ≈ 3,000,000
 - Developers: 20
 - Testers: 28
- Develop Cycle: ≈18 months
- Image Processing Code: ≈15%

The Analysts Future

- "Best practices", methodologies, and process are changing continuously
- Trend towards Java and C# languages
 - As well as JavaScript and VisualBasic is still strong
 - Java still has only a small presence on the desktop
 - Object Oriented is Ubiquitous
- XML growing as Data Interchange Format
- Web Services
- Open Source
 - Foundation Technologies Commoditized



The Analysts Future

- "Organizations need to integrate security best practices, security testing tools and security-focused processes into their software development life cycle. Proper execution improves application security, reduces overall costs, increases customer satisfaction and yields a more-efficient SDLC."
 Gartner Research, Feburary 2006
- "Microsoft has been slowly moving to a new development process that will affect how partners and customers evaluate and test its software... The new process should help Microsoft gain more feedback earlier in the development cycle, but it won't necessarily help the company ship its products on time or with fewer bugs."

- Directions on Microsoft, March 2006

Why Status Quo Will Fail

 "I've assigned this problem [binary search] in courses at Bell Labs and IBM. Professional programmers had a couple of hours to convert the description into a programming language of their choice; a high-level pseudo code was fine... Ninety percent of the programmers found bugs in their programs (and I wasn't always convinced of the correctness of the code in which no bugs were found)."

- Jon Bentley, Programming Pearls, 1986



```
int* lower_bound(int* first, int* last, int x)
{
    while (first != last)
    {
        int* middle = first + (last - first) / 2;
        if (*middle < x) first = middle + 1;
        else last = middle;
    }
</pre>
```

return first;

Question: If We Can't Write Binary Search...

- Jon Bentley's solution is considerably more complicated (and slower).
- Photoshop uses this problem as a take home test for candidates.
 - More than 90% of candidates fail.
- Our experience teaching algorithms would indicate that more than 90% of engineers, regardless of experience, cannot write this simple code.
- ...then how is it possible that Photoshop, Acrobat, and Microsoft Word exist?



Bugs During Product Cycle



Bugs During Product Cycle



Answer: Iterative Refinement.

- Current programming methodologies lend themselves to iterative refinement.
- We don't solve problems, we approximate solutions.

Writing Correct Algorithms

- We need to study how to write correct algorithms.
- Write algorithms once in a general form that can be reused.
- Focus on the common algorithms actually used.

Generic Programming

- Start with a concrete algorithm.
- Refine the algorithm, reducing it to its minimal requirements.
- Clusters of related requirements are known as Concepts.
- Define the algorithms in terms of Concepts supporting maximum reuse.
- Data structures (containers) are created to support algorithms.

Programming as Mathematics

- Generic Programming
- Semantic Requirement
- Concept
- Model (types model concepts)
- Algorithms
- Regular Function
- Complexity

- Mathematics
 - Axiom
 - Algebraic Structure
 - Model
 - Theorems
 - Function
- Refined Concept a Concept defined by adding requirements to an existing concept.
 - monoid: semigroup with an identity element
 - BidirectionalIterator: ForwardIterator with constant complexity decrement
- Refined Algorithm an algorithm performing the same function as another but with lower complexity or space requirements on a refined concept



Simple Generic Algorithm

```
template <typename T> // T models Regular
void swap(T& x, T& y)
{
   T tmp(x);
   x = y;
   y = tmp;
}
```



expression	return type	post-condition
T(t)		t is equal to T(t)
T(u)		u is equal to T(u)
t.~T()		
&t	T*	denotes address of t
&u	const T*	denotes address of u

Table 1 - CopyConstructable

	t = u		Т&	t is equal to u
--	-------	--	----	-----------------

Table 2 - Assignable

a == b bool == is the equality relation

Table 3 – EqualityComparable

Value Semantics

- For all a, a == a (reflexive).
- If a == b, then b == a (symmetric).
- If a == b, and b == c, then a == c (transitive).
- !(a == b) ⇔ a != b.
- T a(b) implies a == b.
- T a; a = b ⇔ T a(b).
- T a(c); T b(c); a = d; then b == c.
- T a(c); T b(c); modify(a) then b == c and a != b.
- If a == b then for any regular function f, f(a) == f(b).



Challenges

- Language Support for Concepts
- Extending Concepts to Runtime
 - Replacing inheritance as a mechanism for polymorphism
- Constructing a Library of Algorithms and Containers
 - STL is only a beginning must be considered an example

Question: Is this enough to build an application?



Better by Adobe™

Current Design of Large Systems

- Networks of objects form implicit data structures.
- Messaging among objects form implicit algorithms.
- Design Patterns assist in reasoning about these systems.
 - Local rules which approximate correct algorithms and structures.
- Iteratively refine until quality is "good enough."

Event Flow in a Simple User Interface



Facts:

- 1/3 of the code in Adobe's desktop applications is devoted to event handling logic.
- 1/2 of the bugs reported during a product cycle exist in this code.

If Writing Correct Algorithms is Difficult...

- ...Writing correct implicit algorithms is very difficult.
- We need to study what these implicit algorithms do, and express the algorithms explicitly on declared data structures.

Declarative Programming

- Describe software in terms of rules rather than sequences of instructions.
 - Rules define a structure upon which solving algorithms can operate.
- Examples of non-Turing complete* systems:
 - Lex and YACC (and BNF based parsers)
 - Sequel Query Language (SQL)
 - HTML (if we ignore scripting extensions)
 - Spreadsheet
- Can be Turing complete (i.e. Prolog).
 - But Turing complete systems lead us back to the complexity of algorithms.

*Some of these systems are "accidentally" Turing complete or support extensions that make them Turing complete (such as allowing cycles in a spreadsheet engine). In practice though, this can often be effectively ignored and disallowed.

STLab Research: "Declarative UI Logic"

- Definition: A User Interface (UI) is a system for assisting a user in selecting a function and providing a valid set of parameters to the function.
- Definition: A Graphical User Interface (GUI) is a visual and interactive system for assisting a user in selecting a function and providing a valid set of parameters to the function.
- We're starting with what it means to assist the user in providing a valid set of parameters to a function...



Demo

Imperative Solution to Mini-Image Size



Declarative Solution

```
sheet mini image size
{
input:
                      :5 * 300;
   original_width
   original_height
                      : 7 * 300;
interface:
   constrain
                       : true;
   width pixels
                       : original width
                                           <== round(width pixels);</pre>
                       : original height
                                         <== round(height pixels);</pre>
   height pixels
   width_percent;
   height_percent;
logic:
   relate {
      width pixels
                          <== round(width percent * original width / 100);</pre>
      width_percent
                          <== width_pixels * 100 / original_width;</pre>
   relate {
      height_pixels
                          <== round(height_percent * original_height / 100);</pre>
      height percent
                          <== height pixels * 100 / original height;</pre>
   when (constrain) relate {
      width_percent
                          <== height_percent;
      height_percent
                          <== width_percent;</pre>
output:
   result <== { height: height_pixels, width: width_pixels };</pre>
}
```

Structure of Simple User Interface



Future of Software Development

- 85% of existing code base can be replaced with small declarations and a small library of generic algorithms.
- Formally describe application behavior by expressing algorithm requirements and structure invariants.
- Extend the ideas from STL to encompass richer structures with full transaction semantics.
- Shift polymorphic requirements from objects to containers allowing generic programming with runtime polymorphism.



Better by Adobe™